knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 1, and on that basis denies them.

- 2. The allegations of Paragraph 2 are legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 2, and on that basis denies them.
- 3. The allegations of Paragraph 3 are legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 3, and on that basis denies them.
- **4.** Defendant admits that The ServInt Corporation is incorporated in the State of Virginia and that it does business in the State of California. Except as so expressly admitted, Defendant denies the allegations in Paragraph 4.
- 5. Defendant lacks knowledge of or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 5, and on that basis denies them.
- **6.** Defendant lacks knowledge of or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 6, and on that basis denies them.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

- 7. Defendant hereby incorporates as though fully set forth herein its answers to Paragraphs 1-6.
- **8.** Defendant lacks knowledge of or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 8, and on that basis denies them.
- **9.** Defendant lacks knowledge of or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 9, and on that basis denies them.

- 10. Defendant lacks knowledge of or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 10, and on that basis denies them.
- 11. The allegations of Paragraph 11 are legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 11, and on that basis denies them.
- **12.** Defendant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 12, and on that basis denies them.
- 13. Defendant admits that Plaintiff has reported some claims of copyright infringement to ServInt. Except as so expressly admitted, Defendant denies the allegations in Paragraph 13.
 - **14.** Defendant denies the allegations in Paragraph 14.
- 15. The allegations of Paragraph 15 are legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendant denies the allegations in Paragraph 15.
 - **16.** Defendant denies the allegations in Paragraph 16.
- 17. The allegations of Paragraph 17 are legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 17, and on that basis denies them.
- **18.** The allegations of Paragraph 18 are legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendant denies the allegations in Paragraph 18.
 - **19.** Defendant denies the allegations in Paragraph 19.
- 20. The allegations of Paragraph 20 are legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the

allegations in Paragraph 20, and on that basis denies them.

- 21. The allegations of Paragraph 21 are legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 21, and on that basis denies them.
- **22.** The allegations of Paragraph 22 are legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 22, and on that basis denies them.
- 23. The allegations of Paragraph 23 are legal conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Defendant lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in Paragraph 23, and on that basis denies them.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Defendant admits that Plaintiff seeks the relief set forth in his Prayer for Relief. Defendant, however, denies that Plaintiff is entitled to any such relief.

SEPARATE AND ADDITIONAL DEFENSES

Defendant alleges the following separate and additional defenses.

FIRST SEPARATE AND ADDITIONAL DEFENSE (Failure to State a Claim)

1. The Complaint, and each and every claim alleged therein, fails to state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action upon which relief may be granted.

SECOND SEPARATE AND ADDITIONAL DEFENSE (Plaintiff Does Not Own Copyright)

2. Plaintiff does not own copyright(s) for the images allegedly infringed and/or has not registered such copyright(s) and, therefore, cannot bring claims of infringement.

26

1
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
10
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

THIRD SEPARATE AND ADDITIONAL DEFENSE (Defective Notice of Copyright Infringement)

3. Plaintiff submitted defective notifications of copyright infringement to Defendant and, therefore, cannot bring claims of infringement for Plaintiff's alleged copyrights.

FOURTH SEPARATE AND ADDITIONAL DEFENSE (Non-Infringement)

4. Defendant has not infringed, whether directly, contributorily, vicariously, or by inducement, and is not now infringing, whether directly, contributorily, vicariously, or by inducement, Plaintiff's alleged copyright rights.

FIFTH SEPARATE AND ADDITIONAL DEFENSE (Digital Millennium Copyright Act)

5. Defendant is entitled to the protection afforded by the Digital Millennium Copyright Act's safe harbor, 17 U.S.C. §§ 512 *et seq*.

SIXTH SEPARATE AND ADDITIONAL DEFENSE (No Entitlement to General Damages)

6. Plaintiff's claim for general damages is not authorized by statute and is therefore improper.

SEVENTH SEPARATE AND ADDITIONAL DEFENSE (No Entitlement to Statutory Damages and Interest)

7. Plaintiff's claim for statutory damages and interest is not authorized by statute and is therefore improper.

EIGHTH SEPARATE AND ADDITIONAL DEFENSE (Fault of Others)

8. Plaintiff is barred from obtaining any relief sought in the Complaint because, to the extent that Plaintiff has suffered any damage or injury, such damage or injury was not caused by Defendant, but was caused by the intentional or negligent acts, omissions, or misconduct of others.

1	NINTH SEPARATE AND ADDITIONAL DEFENSE (Failure to Mitigate)		
2			
3	9.	Defendant is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that it	
4	Plaintiff has incurred any loss as a result of the activities alleged in the Complaint		
5	he has failed to take proper measures to mitigate any such loss.		
6 7	TENTH SEPARATE AND ADDITIONAL DEFENSE (Laches)		
8	10.	Each and every cause of action alleged in the Complaint is barred by	
9	the doctrine of laches.		
10		ELEVENTH SEPARATE AND ADDITIONAL DEFENSE (Unclean Hands)	
11	11		
12	11.	Each and every cause of action alleged in the Complaint is barred by	
13	the doctrine of unclean hands.		
1415		TWELFTH SEPARATE AND ADDITIONAL DEFENSE (Innocent Infringement – 17 U.S.C. § 504(c)(2))	
16	12.	Without admitting that any infringement has taken place, to the extent	
17	that Plaintiff seeks to recover statutory damages provided under the Copyright Act		
18	any such damages are limited to 17 U.S.C. § 504(c)(2).		
19	THIRTEENTH SEPARATE AND ADDITIONAL DEFENSE (Right to Assert Additional Defenses)		
20			
21	13.	Defendant reserves the right to seek leave to amend this Answer to see	
22	forth additional defenses based on its ongoing investigation and discovery into the		
23	matters alleged in the Complaint.		
24	PRAYER FOR RELIEF		
25	Wherefore, Defendant requests that this Court:		
26	1.	Deny in its entirety the Prayer for Relief in Plaintiff's Complaint;	
27	2.	Dismiss with prejudice Plaintiff's Complaint and enter judgment in	
28	favor of Defendant;		

Case 2:10-cv-01940-MMM-SH Document 7 Filed 05/07/10 Page 7 of 8

COOLEY GODWARD KRONISH LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW SAN FRANCISCO

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Local Rule 38.1, Defendant hereby requests a jury trial as to all the issues to which it is so entitled. Dated: May 7, 2010 COOLEY LLP MICHAEL A. ATTANASIO (151529) JOSEPH S. LEVENTHAL (221043) SARAH R. BOOT (253658) /s/ Joseph S. Leventhal Joseph S. Leventhal (221043) Attorneys for Defendant
THE SERVINT CORPORATION 668926/SD

COOLEY GODWARD
KRONISH LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
SAN FRANCISCO